# UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF INDIANA INDIANAPOLIS DIVISION

CURTIS CAMPBELL,	)
Plaintiff,	) )
V.	) CAUSE NO: 1:20-cv-1896
NATIONAL SALVAGE & SERVICE CORPORATION,	) ) )
Defendant.	)

### COMPLAINT AND DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL

1. Plaintiff, Curtis Campbell ("Campbell"), brings this action against Defendant, National Salvage & Service Corporation ("Defendant"), for violating his rights as protected by the Americans with Disabilities Act ("ADA"), 42 U.S.C. § 12101 *et seq.*, the Virginia Worker's Compensation Statute, Va. Code Ann. § 65.2-308, and the public policy outlined in *Frampton v. Central Indiana Gas Co.*, 297 N.E.2d 425 (Ind. 1973)..

#### **PARTIES**

- 2. Campbell has at all times resided in Pennsylvania.
- 3. Defendant is a for-profit corporation doing business in the Southern District of Indiana.

#### **JURISDICTION AND VENUE**

- 4. This Court has jurisdiction over Richardson's federal claims pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1331 and 42 U.S.C. § 12117, and has supplemental jurisdiction over Campbell's state law claim pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1367(a).
- 5. Campbell is an "employee" as defined by 42 U.S.C. § 12111(4).

- 6. Defendant is an "employer" as defined by 42 U.S.C. § 12111(5).
- 7. Campbell has satisfied his obligations to exhaust his administrative remedies, having filed a Charge of Discrimination with the Equal Employment Opportunity Commission ("EEOC") on or around November 4, 2019. The EEOC issued a right-to-sue notice of Richardson on or around June 8, 2020. Campbell now timely files this lawsuit.
- 8. Venue is proper in this Court because Defendant is located in Monroe County, Indiana, which is located in the Southern District of Indiana, Indianapolis Division.

#### **FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS**

- 9. Defendant hired Campbell as an operator (grapple trucks) on or around March 19, 2017.
- 10. At all relevant times Campbell's work performance met Defendant's reasonable expectations.
- 11. In early June 2019, Campbell was bit by a tick while working for Defendant.
- 12. As a result of the tick bite, Campbell contracted Lyme disease.
- 13. As a result, Campbell has been a qualified individual with a disability which interferes with one or more activity of daily life.
- 14. As a result of the symptoms of Lyme disease, Campbell requested a reasonable accommodation from Defendant in the form of medical leave from on or around June 23, 2019, through August 16, 2019.
- 15. Campbell's request for an accommodation constituted protected activity under the ADA.
- 16. Because Campbell believed he suffered the tick bite while working in Virginia,
  Defendant filed a claim for worker's compensation on Campbell's behalf under
  Virginia's worker's compensation law.

- 17. The claim for worker's compensation benefits was filed pursuant to Virginia's Worker's Compensation Statute, Va. Code An. §§ 65.2-100 *et seq.*
- 18. On August 5, 2019, Campbell and Defendant were informed that Defendant's worker's compensation carrier rejected Campbell's claim.
- 19. On August 5, 2019, Defendant terminated Campbell's employment.
- 20. Defendant refused to grant Campbell a reasonable accommodation for his disability and refused to engage in the interactive process.
- 21. Defendant took adverse action against Campbell because of his disability, because he engaged in protected conduct under the ADA, and/or because he filed a claim for worker's compensation benefits.
- 22. Defendant has accorded more favorable treatment to similarly-situated employees who are not disabled, have not engaged in protected conduct under the ADA, and/or who have not filed claims for worker's compensation benefits.
- 23. Campbell has suffered and continues to suffer injuries as a result of Defendant's unlawful conduct.

#### **CAUSES OF ACTION**

#### **COUNT I - DISABILITY DISCRIMINATION**

- 24. Campbell incorporates by reference paragraphs 1-23 of his Complaint.
- 25. Campbell is a qualified individual with a disability.
- 26. Defendant terminated Campbell because of his disability.
- 27. Defendant accorded more favorable treatment to similarly-situated employees who are not disabled.

28. Defendant's actions were intentional, willful, and in reckless disregard of Campbell's rights as protected by the ADA.

#### **COUNT II - FAILURE TO ACCOMMODATE**

- 29. Campbell incorporates by reference paragraphs 1-28 of his Complaint.
- 30. Campbell requested reasonable accommodations for his disability by requesting medical leave.
- 31. Defendant unreasonably denied Campbell's request for a reasonable accommodation.
- 32. Defendant failed to engage in the interactive process.
- 33. Defendant's actions were intentional, willful, and in reckless disregard of Campbell's rights as protected by the ADA.

# **COUNT III - ADA RETALIATION**

- 34. Campbell incorporates by reference paragraphs 1-33 of his Complaint.
- 35. Campbell engaged in protected conduct when he requested a reasonable accommodation.
- 36. Defendant terminated Campbell in retaliation for his protected conduct.
- 37. Defendant has accorded more favorable treatment to similarly-situated employees who had not engaged in statutorily-protected conduct.
- 38. Defendant's actions were intentional, willful, and in reckless disregard of Campbell's rights as protected by the ADA.

## **COUNT IV - WORKER'S COMPENSATION RETALIATION**

- 39. Campbell incorporates by reference paragraphs 1-38 of his Complaint.
- 40. Defendant terminated Campbell for filing a claim for worker's compensation benefits in violation of Va. Code Ann. § 65.2-307.

41. Defendant has accorded more favorable treatment to similarly-situated employees who did not file worker's compensation claims.

### **COUNT V - WRONGFUL TERMINATION**

## Frampton v. Central Indiana Gas Co., 297 N.E.2d 425 (Ind. 1973)

- 42. Campbell incorporates by reference paragraphs 1-41 of his Complaint.
- 43. Defendant terminated Campbell in violation of the public policy outlined in *Frampton v*. *Indiana Gas Co.*, 297 N.E.2d 425 (Ind. 1973).
- 44. Defendant has accorded more favorable treatment to similarly-situated employees who did not file worker's compensation claims.

#### REQUESTED RELIEF

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff, Curtis Campbell, by counsel, respectfully requests that the Court find for her and order that Defendant:

- a. Reinstate Campbell to the same position, salary, and seniority, or in the alternative, pay Campbell front pay in lieu of reinstatement;
- b. Pay lost wages and benefits to Campbell;
- c. Pay compensatory damages to Campbell;
- d. Pay punitive damages to Campbell;
- e. Pay pre-and post-judgment interest to Campbell;
- f. Pay Campbell's reasonable attorney's fees and costs incurred in pursuing this matter; and
- g. Provide to Campbell any and all other legal and/or equitable relief that this Court determines appropriate and just to grant.

Respectfully submitted,

John H. Haskin, Attorney No. 7576-49 Samuel M. Adams, Attorney No. 28437-49 JOHN H. HASKIN & ASSOCIATES 255 North Alabama Street, 2<sup>nd</sup> Floor Indianapolis, Indiana 46204

Telephone: (317)955-9500 Facsimile: (317)955-2570

Email: jhaskin@jhaskinlaw.com

sadams@jhaskinlaw.com

#### **JURY DEMAND**

Plaintiff respectfully requests a jury trial for all issues deemed triable.

Respectfully submitted,

John H. Haskin, Attorney No. 7576-49 Samuel M. Adams, Attorney No. 28437-49 JOHN H. HASKIN & ASSOCIATES 255 North Alabama Street, 2<sup>nd</sup> Floor Indianapolis, Indiana 46204

Telephone: (317)955-9500 Facsimile: (317)955-2570

Email: jhaskin@jhaskinlaw.com

sadams@jhaskinlaw.com